IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

XAVIER FLORES,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

13-cv-115-bbc

DAVID PETRAEUS and HILLARY RODHAM CLINTON

Defendants.

XAVIER FLORES,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

13-cv-136-bbc

THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON,

Defendant.

XAVIER FLORES,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

13-cv-137-bbc

HOSTEL OF HI - MADISON - OWNERS/MANAGERS and MADISON POLICE DEPARTMENT,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Xavier Flores has filed three proposed civil complaints. Plaintiff has asked for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and has supported his request with an affidavit of indigency. The standard for determining whether plaintiff qualifies for indigent status is the following:

- From plaintiff's annual gross income, the court subtracts \$3700 for each dependent excluding the plaintiff.
- If the balance is less than \$16,000, the plaintiff may proceed without any prepayment of fees and costs.
- If the balance is greater than \$16,000 but less than \$32,000, the plaintiff must prepay half the fees and costs.

• If the balance is greater than \$32,000, the plaintiff must prepay all fees and costs.

• Substantial assets or debts require individual consideration.

In this case, plaintiff's monthly income is \$0.00. He has no dependents and no assets

that are accessible to him. Because plaintiff's income is less than \$16,000, he can proceed

without any prepayment of fees or costs.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's complaints are taken under advisement.

As soon as the court's calendar permits, plaintiff's complaints will be screened pursuant to 28

U.S.C. § 1915 to determine whether the cases must be dismissed either because the complaint

is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted or seeks monetary

relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief. Plaintiff will be notified promptly

when such a decision has been made. In the meantime, if plaintiff needs to communicate with

the court about these cases, he should be sure to write the case number shown above on his

communication.

Entered this 26th day of February, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Peter Oppeneer
PETER OPPENEER

Magistrate Judge

2